

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,170	10/22/2001	Ralph Craig Even	A01087B 9801	
7:	590 07/02/200			
Ronald D. Bakule			EXAMINER	
Rohm and Haas 100 Indepence	Mall West		REDDICK, MARIE L	
Philadelphia, P.	A 19106		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	14)			
Advisory Action	10/040,170	EVEN, RALPH CR	AIG			
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Judy M. Reddick	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a mal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period of extermining the period of extermining the period of extermining the content of the shorteness, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims versions.			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :					
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.						
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-8.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-12.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet						
		Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713	ur			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is urged and maintained that the instantly claimed invention(elected embodiment) is anticipated by under 35 USC 102(e), or in the alternative, obvious over, under 35 USC 103(a) Slone(U.S. 6,403,703 B1) as per reasons stated in the previous Office Action per paper no. 7, 04/08/03...

Continuation of 10. Other: The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 06/12/03 has not been considered as per it not having been timely filed. However, upon a cursory review of the declaration, such is too inconclusive to determine if it would have been sufficient to disqualify Slone as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on 102(e) since the Declaration appears to be predicated on belief rather than on actual facts. In any event, even if the Examiner has somehow missed the boat and this, in fact, is not the case, such would have been sufficient only to remove the 103(a) portion of the rejection. As to the 102(e) portion, it is not seen that the aqueous acrylic emulsion polymer product of Slone is any different from the aqueous acrylic emulsion polymer product of the claimed invention as provided for under the guise of In re Thorpe(227 USPQ 964).